container, "Rubbing Alcohol Compound, Alcohol 70%," was false and misleading; and in that the package failed to bear on its label a statement of the quantity or proportion of isopropyl alcohol contained therein since no declaration of isopropyl alcohol was made.
On October 27, 1937, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation

was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

HARRY L. Brown, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

27907. Misbranding of Eupraxine Eczema Salve and Eupraxine Wound Salve. U. S. v. 22 (60c-Size) and 16 (30c-Size) Packages of Eupraxine Eczema Salve and 12 (60c-Size) and 17 (30c-Size) Packages of Eupraxine Wound Salve. Default decrees of condemnation and destruction. (F. & D. Nos. 39651, 39652. Sample Nos. 14654-C, 14655-C.)

The labeling of these products contained false and fraudulent representations

regarding their curative or therapeutic effects.

On June 3, 1937, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Ohio, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court libels praying seizure and condemnation of the above-named drug products at Toledo, Ohio, alleging that the articles had been shipped in interstate commerce in various shipments on or about September 17 and November 5, 1936, and March 8, 1937, by the Eupraxine Co. from Detroit, Mich., and charging misbranded in violation of the Food and Drugs Act as amended.

Analyses showed that the eczema salve consisted essentially of zinc stearate and boric acid incorporated in petrolatum; and that the wound salve consisted

essentially of ichthammol and petrolatum.

The articles were alleged to be misbranded in that the following statements regarding their curative or therapeutic effects, appearing in the labeling, were false and fraudulent: (Eczema salve, wrapper of both sizes and retail container of 60-cent size) "Eczema Salve * * * For the treatment of Eczema er Salt Rheum, in all its different dry and moist forms, of ulcers or sore legs, of acne or pimples, of intertrigo"; (further statements on retail container, 60-cent size) "For the treatment of moist and discharging surfaces, such as weeping eczema, running sores, etc., * * * For the treatment of the face * * * If the right amount is taken the paste will quickly disappear leaving the skin soft and white"; (retail container, 30-cent size) "Relieves the itching of eczema. Allays irritation of acne or pimples, or intertrigo * * and is soothing for moist skin irritations"; (wound salve, wrapper) "Wound Salve," (metal container, 60-cent size, and wholesale carton, 30-cent size) "For the treatment of fresh, inflamed or poisoned wounds, burns, felons, carbuncles, * * bunions, * * * and erysipelas," (mainer, 60-cent size) "* * the sore spot." and erysipelas," (metal con-

On October 19, 1937, no claimant having appeared, judgments of condemna-

tion were entered and the products were ordered destroyed.

HARRY L. Brown, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

27908. Misbranding of Eby's Swine Medicine. U. S. v. Frank D. Eby (Eby Remedy Co.). Plea of guilty. Fine, \$25 and costs. (F. & D. No. 39723. Sample No. 30094-C.)

The labeling of this product contained false and fraudulent representations

regarding its curative or therapeutic effects.

On September 28, 1937, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Iowa, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court an information against Frank D. Eby, trading as the Eby Remedy Co., Marengo, Iowa, alleging shipment by said defendant in violation of the Food and Drugs Act as amended, on or about February 5, 1937, from the State of Iowa into the State of Nebraska of a quantity of Eby's Swine Medicine that was misbranded.

Analysis showed that the article consisted essentially of creosote oils and

eucalyptus, colored with a red dye.

It was alleged to be misbranded in that certain statements, designs, and devices regarding its therapeutic and curative effects, borne on the bottles, falsely and fraudulently represented that it was effective as a treatment, remedy, and cure for colds in swine and poultry and as a preventive of pneumonia in swine and poultry; effective to soothe inflammation and check fever; and effective as a rebuilder or to cause hogs to regain weight.

On September 28, 1937, the defendant entered a plea of guilty and the court

imposed a fine of \$25 and costs.